

# Theoretical Calculation of Zero Field Splitting of $Mn^{2+}$ Ion in $LiTaO_3$ Crystal

T. H. Yeom\* and S. H. Lee

Department of Physics, Chongju University, Chongju 360-764, Korea

(Received 12 February 2001)

The semi-empirical superposition model has been applied to calculate the zero field splitting parameters of  $Mn^{2+}$  ion in  $LiTaO_3$  single crystal, assuming that  $Mn^{2+}$  ion occupies one of two possible sites:  $Li^{1+}$  or  $Ta^{5+}$  site, respectively. The 2nd-order axial zero field splitting parameters are  $958 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1}$  at  $Li^{1+}$  site and  $193 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1}$  at  $Ta^{5+}$  site for  $Mn^{2+}$  ions. The 4th-order zero field splitting parameters at  $Li^{1+}$  and  $Ta^{5+}$  sites are also determined. These calculated zero field splitting parameters are very important to determine the substitutional sites of doped impurity ions in  $LiTaO_3$  crystal.

**Key words :**  $Mn^{2+}$  ion,  $LiTaO_3$  single crystal, zero field splitting, superposition model, substitutional sites

## 1. Introduction

Lithium tantalate ( $LiTaO_3$ ) and lithium niobate ( $LiNbO_3$ ) are interesting and useful materials which have found many applications in the fields of optics and acoustics because they are useful for electro-optic devices [1-2], holography [3], and low-loss wave guides [4]. The structure of  $LiTaO_3$  has been investigated by Abrahams *et al.* [5-6]. The material was found to be ferroelectric by Matthias and Remeika [7]. They possess identical crystal structures and also exhibit similar physical properties. However, significant differences occur in the Curie ( $T_c$ ) and melting ( $T_m$ ) temperatures for these materials. Values of  $T_c$  and  $T_m$  are 893 K and 1833 K for  $LiTaO_3$  and 1470 K and 1533 K for  $LiNbO_3$  [8]. The transition of  $LiTaO_3$  is well known as a displacive type with some order-disorder nature admixed [9]. There are six molecules per hexagonal (two per rhombohedral) unit cell. The  $Li^{1+}$  and  $Ta^{5+}$  ions in  $LiTaO_3$  lie along the c-axis with  $C_3$  site symmetry and are located in a slightly distorted oxygen octahedron [9, 10], while the  $O^{2-}$  ions lie on planes normal to this axis, having the site symmetry 1 [5, 6, 11].

The information about the impurity sites in  $LiNbO_3$  and  $LiTaO_3$  has come to be of great importance in understanding the nonlinear properties of these ferroelectric crystals. In view of these results, in particular, the site location of paramagnetic ions has been the subject of special interest in order to understand the role played by these defects. Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) is powerful tool to investigate the site location of impurity center.

The zero field splitting parameters of  $Mn^{2+}$  [12] and  $Fe^{3+}$  [13] ions have been calculated at the Li and Nb sites to

determine the substitutional sites in  $LiNbO_3$  crystals using the superposition model and experimental results, respectively. They suggested that both  $Mn^{2+}$  and  $Fe^{3+}$  ions substitute for Nb site rather than Li site from the comparison of experimental and theoretical calculation. However, it has not yet been discussed whether  $Mn^{2+}$  ion replaces  $Li^{1+}$  or  $Ta^{5+}$  ions in  $LiTaO_3$ . It is difficult to answer whether paramagnetic impurities substitute for  $Li^{1+}$  or  $Ta^{5+}$  sites in  $LiTaO_3$  because of the similarity of cation sites. Superposition model also give very detailed information on the lattice site. In this paper, we apply the superposition model to calculate the zero field splitting parameters of the  $Mn^{2+}$  ion in  $LiTaO_3$  crystals. The ZFS parameters calculated at each site can be used to determine the actual site location of  $Mn^{2+}$  ion after comparison with experimental electron paramagnetic resonance data.

## 2. Superposition Model

The main assumption underlying this model is that the spin-Hamiltonian parameters for a paramagnetic ion can be built up from individual contributions due to neighboring ligands. The contribution of next nearest neighbors as well as interaction between ligands is ignored. The zero field splitting parameters  $b_k^q$  can be expressed [14] as

$$b_k^q = \sum_i K_k^q(\theta_i, \phi_i) \bar{b}_k(R_i), \quad (1)$$

where  $K_k^q(\theta_i, \phi_i)$  are the "coordination factors" depending on the angular positions of all ions at a given distance  $R_i$  from the paramagnetic ion. The  $\bar{b}_k(R_i)$  are the "intrinsic parameters" depending on the nature of ligand and the covalency of the bonding. It has been assumed that the

\*Tel: +82-43-229-8555, e-mail: thyeom@chongju.ac.kr

functional form of  $\bar{b}_k(R_i)$  obeys a simple potential law

$$\bar{b}_k(R_i) = \bar{b}_k(R_0) \left( \frac{R_0}{R_i} \right)^{t_k}, \quad (2)$$

where  $t_k$  is the power-law exponent typical for a particular ion/ligands complex,  $R_0$  is the reference distance and  $R_i$  is the distance of the  $i$ -th ligand.

### 3. Calculation and Discussion

Structure of LiTaO<sub>3</sub> at room temperature which is below the ferroelectric Curie temperature consists of planar sheets of oxygen atoms in a distorted hexagonal closed-packed configuration. The octahedral interstices formed in this structure are one-third each filled by lithium atoms and tantalum atoms, while another one-third remains vacant. In the [111] direction, the cations are distributed in the octahedra in the following sequence: Ta, vacancy, Li, Ta, vacancy, Li, etc. In the ferroelectric phase, the Li and Ta ions are surrounded by six oxygens in a distorted octahedron and lie along the C<sub>3</sub> axis but away from the center of the octahedron. The size of the Li (0.68 Å) and Ta (0.68 Å) octahedron is nearly the same, whereas the major difference is in the extent of distortion. The rotation angle ( $\alpha$ ) between the upper and lower oxygen triangle is 3° 56' for the Li and 0° 38' for the Ta octahedron [15]. Crystal structural data [6] of LiTaO<sub>3</sub> crystal used in our calculation are summarized in Table 1.

The axis system in Fig. 1 in ref [12], namely (x,y,z) axis system for Li-site and (x',y',z') axis system for Ta-site, is used to calculate the zero field splitting parameters of Mn<sup>2+</sup> ion in LiTaO<sub>3</sub> single crystals. The zero field splitting parameters calculated in each axis system were transformed to laboratory axis system (X,Y,Z) for comparison of the values  $b_4^3$ . Using the general formulae for the transformations of the zero field splitting parameters  $b_k^q$  due to an arbitrary rotation  $\varphi$  about the z-axis [16]

$$[b_k^q] = \sin(q\varphi) \{b_k^{-q}\} + \cos(q\varphi) \{b_k^q\}, \quad (3)$$

one can obtain an infinite number of sets of values ( $b_4^3$ ,  $b_4^{-3}$ ). Moreover, in most cases no definition of the x- and y-axes is provided. A proper measure of the strength of these terms is given by the length of the “vector” [17],  $|v_4^3| = [(b_4^3)^2 + (b_4^{-3})^2]^{1/2}$ , which is invariant with respect to the transformation in Eq. 3.

Table 1. Crystal structural data of LiTaO<sub>3</sub>

	Li	Ta
$R_1$	2.3110 Å	1.9082 Å
$R_2$	2.0455 Å	2.0740 Å
$\theta_1$	42.87°	60.25°
$\theta_2$	107.15°	130.61°
$\alpha$	3°56'	0°38'

Table 2. The zero field splitting parameter  $b_2^0$  calculated using the superposition model for Mn<sup>2+</sup> ion at the Li- and Ta- sites in LiTaO<sub>3</sub> crystal. All units are in 10<sup>-4</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>

SPM parameters	ZFS parameters $b_2^0$	
	Li-site	Ta-site
$\bar{b}_2 = -996$ $t_2 = 7$	1111	221
$\bar{b}_2 = -637$ $t_2 = 8$	804	164
average	958	193

Table 3. The zero field splitting parameters  $b_4^q$  calculated using the superposition model for Mn<sup>2+</sup> ion at the Li- and Ta-sites in LiTaO<sub>3</sub> crystal. All  $b_4^q$  values are transformed to the laboratory axis system. All units are in 10<sup>-4</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>

SPM parameters	ZFS parameters	
	Li-site	Ta-site
$\bar{b}_4 = 2.61$ $t_4 = 14$	$b_4^0 = 0.3$	$b_4^0 = -5.9$
	$b_4^3 = -122.0$	$b_4^3 = -3.9$
	$b_4^{-3} = -182.9$	$b_4^{-3} = -205.4$
	$ v_4^3  = 219.9$	$ v_4^3  = 205.4$

The coordination factors'  $K_k^q(\theta_i, \phi_i)$  are calculated using the structural data in Table 1. The intrinsic parameter  $\bar{b}_2 = -0.0996$  cm<sup>-1</sup>, the power-law exponent  $t_2 = 7$  [18] and  $b_2 = -0.0637$  cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $t_2 = 8$  [19] are used to calculate the ZFS of Mn<sup>2+</sup> ion. The reference distances are  $R_0 = 2.1783$  Å for Li site and  $R_0 = 1.9911$  Å for Ta site, respectively.

We consider here two possible sites for a paramagnetic impurity in LiTaO<sub>3</sub>, namely, the Li and Ta site. The values of  $b_2^0$  predicted by the superposition model for Mn<sup>2+</sup> center at the Li and Ta site are shown in Table 2. The average value of 2nd order zero field splitting parameter  $b_2^0 = 958 \times 10^{-4}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> at Li site is about five times larger than that ( $\bar{b}_2 = 193 \times 10^{-4}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>) at Ta site. These values can be compared with experimental zero field splitting parameters obtained from electron paramagnetic resonance and then determine the actual site location of Mn<sup>2+</sup> ion in LiTaO<sub>3</sub> single crystal.

The parameters  $\bar{b}_4$  and  $t_4$  for Mn<sup>2+</sup> in LiTaO<sub>3</sub> have not been determined yet, however, they may be obtained from other crystals having similar Mn<sup>2+</sup>-O<sup>2-</sup> bonds. The following values  $\bar{b}_4 = 2.61 \times 10^{-4}$  cm<sup>-1</sup> and  $t_4 = 14$  deduced for Mn<sup>2+</sup>: MgO [20], where Mn<sup>2+</sup> ions reside in octahedral oxygen coordination similar to that for Mn<sup>2+</sup> in LiTaO<sub>3</sub>, have been adopted. The reference distances are  $R_0 = 2.1783$  Å at Li<sup>1+</sup> site and  $R_0 = 1.9911$  Å at Ta<sup>5+</sup> site. The fourth order zero field splitting parameters calculated on the basis of superposition model for the Mn<sup>2+</sup> at the Li<sup>1+</sup> and Ta<sup>5+</sup> sites, respectively, are summarized in Table 3.

In summary, the second and fourth order zero field splitting parameter of Mn<sup>2+</sup> ion at Li and Ta sites in LiTaO<sub>3</sub>

crystals are calculated using superposition model, respectively. From the comparison of experimental electron paramagnetic resonance data and the superposition model calculation results, the actual site locations of  $Mn^{2+}$  ion in  $LiTaO_3$  single crystals can be determined.

### References

- [1] R. T. Denton, F. S. Chen, and A. A. Ballnam, *J. Appl. Phys.* **38**, 1611 (1967).
- [2] P. Gunther, *Phys. Rep.* **93**, 199 (1982).
- [3] D. Von Der Linde, A. M. Glass, and K. F. Rodgers, *Appl. Phys. Letters* **26**, 22 (1975).
- [4] C. M. Verber, N. F. Hortman, and A. M. Glass, *Appl. Phys. Letters* **30**, 272 (1977).
- [5] S. C. Abrahams and J. L. Bernstein, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **28**, 1685 (1967).
- [6] S. C. Abrahams, W. C. Hamilton, and A. Sequeira, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **28**, 1693 (1967).
- [7] B. T. Matthias and J. P. Remeika, *Phys. Rev.* **76**, 1886 (1949).
- [8] C. Y. Chen, K. L. Sweeney, and L. E. Halliburton, *Phys. Stat. Sol.* **81**, 253 (1984).
- [9] M. E. Lines, *Phys. Rev.* **117**, 812 (1969); *Phys. Rev. B* **2**, 690 (1970); *B* **2**, 698 (1970); *Solid. State Commun.* **10**, 793 (1972); *Phys. Rev. B* **5**, 3690 (1972).
- [10] G. E. Peterson and P. M. Bridenbaugh, *J. Chem. Phys.* **46**, 4009 (1967).
- [11] S. C. Abrahams, E. Buehler, C. Hamilton, and S. J. Laplaca, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **34**, 521 (1973).
- [12] T. H. Yeom, S. H. Choh, Y. M. Chang, and C. Rudowicz, *Phys. Stat. Sol. (b)*, **185**, 417 (1994).
- [13] T. H. Yeom, Y. M. Chang, S. H. Choh, and C. Rudowicz, *Phys. Stat. Sol. (b)*, **185**, 409 (1994).
- [14] K. A. Müller and W. Berlinger, *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* **16**, 6861 (1983).
- [15] A. M. Glass, *J. Chem. Phys.* **50**, 1501 (1969).
- [16] C. Rudowicz, *J. Magnetic Resonance* **63**, 95 (1985).
- [17] C. Rudowicz, *Chem. Phys.* **102**, 437 (1986).
- [18] Y. Y. Zhou, *Phys. Rev. B* **43**, 11374 (1991).
- [19] V. K. Jain, *Solid State Commun.* **84**, 669 (1992).
- [20] J. Rubio O, H. Murrieta S, and G. Aguilar S, *J. Chem. Phys.* **71**, 4112 (1979).